UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,203	08/18/2003	Jeffrey E. Stahmann	GUID.059PA	4057
	7590 09/22/200 ORTH & FUNK, LLC	EXAMINER		
8009 34TH AV	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DINGA, ROLAND		
SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,203	STAHMANN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROLAND DINGA	3766
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 61-93 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 61-93 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition accompo	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  07/12/2004 03/03/2005 06/18/2007 09/24/2007 06/23/2008	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,203 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 06/23/2008 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 61-65,67-86,88,90-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Florio (US 2004/0002742).

Regarding **claim** 61, Florio discloses a medical device, comprising: a detector system (244 or 246) configured to detect patient conditions [see fig.2]; a disordered breathing detection system (238) coupled to the detector system and configured to detect disordered breathing [see fig.2]; a therapy control system (220) coupled to the disordered breathing detection system (238) and the detector system (244 &246) and configured to adapt a cardiac electrical therapy to mitigate the disordered breathing [see fig.2]; and a therapy delivery system (240,240) coupled to the therapy control system and configured to deliver the adapted therapy to the patient, wherein at least one of the detector system (244 & 246) includes an implantable component [see fig.2].

Art Unit: 3766

Regarding **claim** 62, at least two of the detector system (244 or 246), the disordered breathing detection system (238) include implantable components [fig.2].

Regarding **claim** 63, at least three of the disordered breathing detection system (238), the detector system (244 & 246) and the therapy delivery system (240,245) include implantable components [fig.2].

Regarding **claim** 64, the therapy delivery system (240,245) includes implantable components [fig.2].

Regarding **claim** 65, detector system comprises a patient-internal sensor 244[see fig.2].

Regarding **claim** 67, the telemetry unit is capable of being used by the patient.

Regarding **claim** 68, the disordered breathing detection system (238) includes a wirelessly connected component (254 & 264)[see fig.2].

Regarding **claim** 69, the disordered breathing detection system (238) is inherently configured to detect a respiration pattern of one or more respiration cycles, determine one or more characteristics of the respiration pattern, and classify the respiration pattern as disordered breathing based on the one or more characteristics of the respiration pattern [fig.2].

Regarding **claims** 70-92, the therapy control system (220) is inherently configured to adapt the specified since the device allows reprogramming of the control system and thus is configured to be adaptable to whatever needs. In addition, no particular stimulation regimen is specified for the therapy or the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,203 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

needs of the particular patient thus one therapy make work for one patient and not another.

.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 66, 87, 89, 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florio (US 2004/0002742) as applied to claim 61 above.

Regarding **claim** 66, Florio discloses the invention substantially as claimed but failed to disclose a patient-external sensor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Florio device with the external sensor, since such a modification would provide the predictable results of having a less invasive and can be worn or used by the sleep apnea patient only at times when the intention or expectation is to sleep.

Regarding **claim** 87, Florio discloses the invention substantially as claimed but failed to disclose that the therapy control system is configured to adapt the

therapy to mitigate hypopnea or mitigate Cheyne-Stokes respiration. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Florio device to the therapy control system is configured to adapt the therapy to mitigate hypopnea or mitigate Cheyne-Stokes respiration since it is well known (e.g US2002/0193697, US2003/0153954) in the art.

Regarding **claim** 89,Florio discloses the invention substantially as claimed but failed to disclose that the therapy delivery system is configured to deliver biventricular pacing therapy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Florio device to deliver bi-ventricular pacing therapy, since such a technique is well known (e.g.US6477420) in the art.

Regarding **claim** 93, Florio discloses that invention substantially as claimed but failed to disclose that the therapy delivery system is configured to deliver multisite pacing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Florio device to deliver multisite pacing, since such a technique is well known (e.g.US6292693) in the art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROLAND DINGA whose telephone number is (571)270-

Application/Control Number: 10/643,203 Page 6

Art Unit: 3766

3644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on 571 272 4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RD/ PATENT EXAMINER 09/03/2008

/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766